| 1 | SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCSBN 9990) United States Attorney |
|------------------|---|
| 2 3 | W. DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CASBN 202121) Chief, Criminal Division |
| 4 5 6 7 | JEFFREY B. SCHENK (CASBN 234355) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-2695 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 Email: jeffrey.b.schenk@usdoj.gov |
| 9 | Attorneys for the United States of America |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 12 | SAN JOSE DIVISION |
| 13 | |
| 14 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 07-00503-RMW |
| 15 | Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM |
| 16 | v.) AUGUST 7, 2007 TO SEPTEMBER 4, 2007 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| 17 | ARQUIMEDES MENDOZA-SORIANO,) CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)) |
| 18 | Defendant.) |
| 19 |) |
| 20 | On August 7, 2007 the parties appeared for a hearing before this Court. At that hearing |
| 21 | defense counsel requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act based upon defense |
| 22 | counsel's need to effectively prepare by reviewing the defendant's A file and other discovery |
| 23 | materials submitted by the government. At that time, the Court set the matter for a hearing on |
| 24 | September 4, 2007. |
| 25 | The parties stipulate that the time between August 7, 2007 and September 4 2007 is |
| 26 | excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the |

requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree

27

28

that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). DATED: August 7, 2007 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS **United States Attorney** JEFFREY B. SCHENK Assistant United States Attorney JAY RORTY Assistant Federal Public Defender

Document 7

Filed 08/08/2007

Page 2 of 3

Case 5:07-cr-00503-RMW

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between August 7, 2007 and September 4, 2007 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

HOWARD R. LLOYD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE